## **PCT**

# WORLD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ORGANIZATION International Bureau



(51) International Patent Classification 6:		(11) International Publication Number: WO 97/02741
A01N 25/00	<b>A1</b>	(43) International Publication Date: 30 January 1997 (30.01.97)
<ul> <li>(21) International Application Number: PCT/US</li> <li>(22) International Filing Date: 11 December 1995 (</li> <li>(30) Priority Data: 08/500,613 11 July 1995 (11.07.95)</li> <li>(71)(72) Applicant and Inventor: CHUHRAN, James, E. 7676 Dolphin, Detroit, MI 48239 (US).</li> <li>(74) Agent: CHANDLER, Charles, W.; 33150 Schoolcraft, MI 48150 (US).</li> </ul>	11.12.9 U	CN, CZ, DE, DK, EE, ES, FI, GB, GE, HU, IS, JP, KE KG, KP, KR, KZ, LK, LR, LT, LU, LV, MD, MG, MN MW, MX, NO, NZ, PL, PT, RO, RU, SD, SE, SG, SI, SK TJ, TM, TT, UA, UG, US, UZ, VN, ARIPO patent (KE LS, MW, SD, SZ, UG), European patent (AT, BE, CH, DE DK, ES, FR, GB, GR, IE, IT, LU, MC, NL, PT, SE), OAP patent (BF, BJ, CF, CG, CI, CM, GA, GN, ML, MR, NE SN, TD, TG).  Published
(54) Title: TOXICANT-FREE RODENT EXTERMINATE (57) Abstract  A toxicant-free composition for exterminating rodent with a sweet attractant, such as molasses.		as rats and mice, comprises pellets of crushed com cobs bound together

#### FOR THE PURPOSES OF INFORMATION ONLY

Codes used to identify States party to the PCT on the front pages of pamphlets publishing international applications under the PCT.

AM	Armenia	GB	United Kingdom	MW	Malawi
ΑT	Austria	GE	Georgia	MX	Mexico
ΑÙ	Australia	GN	Guinea	NE	Niger
BB	Barbados	GR	Greece	NL	Netherlands
BE	Belgium	HU	Hungary	NO	Norway
BF	Burkina Faso	TE	Ireland	NZ	New Zealand
BG	Bulgaria	IT	Italy	PL	Poland
BJ	Benin	JР	Japan	PT	Portugal
BR	Brazil	KE	Kenya	RO	Romania.
BY	Belarus	KG	Kyrgystan	RU	Russian Federation
CA	Canada	KP	Democratic People's Republic	SD	Sudan
CF	Central African Republic		of Korea	SE	Sweden
CG	Congo	KR	Republic of Korea	SG	Singapore
CH	Switzerland	KZ	Kazakhstan	SI	Slovenia
CI	Côte d'Ivoire	LI	Liechtenstein	SK	Slovakia
CM	Cameroon	LK	Sri Lanka	SN	Senegal
CN	China	LR	Liberia	SZ	Swaziland
CS	Czechoslovakia	LT	Lithuania	TD	Chad
CZ	Czech Republic	LU	Luxembourg	TG	Togo
DE	Germany	LV	Latvia	TJ	Tajikistan
DK	Denmark	MC	Мопасо	TT	Trinidad and Tobago
EE	Estonia	MD	Republic of Moldova	UA	Ukraine
ES	Spain	MG	Madagascar	UG	Uganda
FI	Finland	ML	Mali	US	United States of America
FR	France	MN	Mongolia	UZ	Uzbekistan
GA	Gabon	MR	Mauritania	VN	Viet Nam

5

10

15

20

25

30

1

## **TOXICANT-FREE RODENT EXTERMINATOR**

#### Background of the Invention

Many products are available for controlling rodents, such as rats and mice, and insects, such as ants. Usually such products employ an inert substance combined with a rodenticide. However, products with toxicant may only be used in carefully selected areas to avoid contaminating food supplies, water supplies, domestic animals and people. Further, products using a rodenticide are undesirable because any animal, bird, or reptile feeding on a poisoned rat or mouse will also die from the toxic product.

Examples of such prior art products can be found in the following United States Patent Nos.: 1,952,977 which was issued March 27, 1934 to J. Bernard Edmonds for "Method of Treating Red Squill for Use as a Rodent Exterminator"; 4,287,183 which was issued September 1, 1981 to John D. Hagerman and Brenda M. Hagerman for "Method for Killing Rodents"; 4,379,139 which was issued April 5, 1983 to Ray F. Dawson for "Anticoagulant Rodenticide With Laceration Means"; 4,518,580 which was issued May 21, 1985 to Nunzio R. Pasarela for "Expanded Corncob Grits Having Increased Absorptivity and a Method for the Preparation thereof"; 4,581,378 which was issued April 8, 1986 to Remus Lazar and Emil P. Lira for "Rodenticide Compositions Comprising an Artificial Sweetener and a Rodenticide"; 4,815,923 which was issued March 28, 1989 to Raymon W. Lush for "Sweet Corn Based Rodenticide"; 5,019,564 which was issued May 28, 1991 to H. Edward Lowe, Ricky L. Yoder and Clayton C. Nelson for "Non-Clay Agricultural Granule"; 5,132,321 which was issued July 21, 1992 to Garland G. Corey for "Anticoagulant/Surfactant Rodenticidal Compositions and Method"; and 5,290,556 which was issued March 1, 1994 to Gerald H. McKibben, Joseph C. Dickens and James W. Smith for "Plastic Bait Composition for Attracting and Killing Crop Pests".

Some non-toxic bait compositions have been disclosed in the prior art. These include United States Patent No. 5,186,935 which was issued February 16, 1993 to John W. Tucker for "Insecticidal Bait Composition and Method of Making Same".

#### Summary of the Invention

The broad purpose of the present invention is to provide a toxicant-free composition that can be used for controlling rodents, such as rats and mice. The preferred embodiment of the invention comprises pellets formed of crushed corn cobs, a binder and a sweet rodent attractant in the form of molasses.

Another object of the invention is to provide a toxicant-free product for controlling

insects, such as ants, in the form of pulverized or ground-up corn cobs which may be sprinkled in the area where the ants are present.

Some of the advantages of the invention is that the product can be safely used indoors, outdoors, in the home, around food and in the fields. It can be applied in many ways, such as by air planes, helicopters, and other forms of vehicles. In one form of the invention, the material will not dissolve in water. The product can be dispensed by hand without fear of toxic chemical exposure. It is completely safe to animals, birds or reptiles that eat a rat or mouse killed by the product. The product will not contaminate a drinking water supply, will not harm fish, birds or wild life, will not cause any harmful effects if swallowed or absorbed through the skin, will not harm children or pets, and can be safely eaten by domestic animals and livestock.

The unique characteristic of the inventive product is that when eaten by rats and mice, it accomplishes the same object as products classified as a rodenticide. It has been safely tested on numerous types of rats with equal degrees of success. The product over a period of time, causes rats to fall asleep as they become gradually undernourished. In the preferred form of the invention, the product is applied in the form of a pellet. The tests indicate that it is not harmful to animals, other than rats and mice.

#### Description of the Preferred Embodiment

The composition of this invention is produced by preparing a substantially dry base of crushed corn cobs, without kernels, and 1% by weight of molasses as a rodent attractant. The corn cobs are crushed to a size that can be easily ingested by the rodent, for example 0.1-5 millimeters in diameter. Five pounds of wheat chaff may be added per 1,000 pounds of the crushed corn cobs to give it a color. One gallon of celery juice per 1,000 pounds of crushed corn cobs appears to aid in terminating the rodents. The corn cobs are exposed to hot steam during the conventional pelletizing process, and molasses is mixed into the corn cobs. The pellets are bound by the molasses which acts as a sweet attractant as well as a binder. Preferably, the pellets are about 3/15" in diameter and typically 1/2" long. The pellets are distributed in locations where the rats or mice are active. Over a period of several days, the rats and mice die after consuming the composition.

When the corn cobs are crushed in a finer powder-like form, the powder can be distributed in the vicinity where ants are present. The ants appear to die upon contact with the powder.

5

10

15

20

25

30

The material made for use on rodents, can be formed into shapes, other than pellets. Further, other sweets and attractants can be used, such as honey to attract the rodents to the pellets.

The pellets may be provided with a coating intended to protect the composition from contact with water, such as paraffin. The following example illustrates the use of the preferred embodiment of the invention.

#### EXAMPLE I

#### **SUMMARY:**

5

10

15

20

Five male and five female Sprague Dawley derived rats were fed Delmar Company sample: Orbis Molasses Pellet, as supplied. All animals died by day 7. Clinical observations included dehydration, tremors, lethargy, soft light stool and weight loss.

#### PURPOSE:

To determine the effectiveness of the test material to produce death in the treated animals when administered as supplied, <u>ad libitum</u> for a period of 14 days.

MATERIAL AND METHODS:

#### **TEST ANIMALS:**

Species: Rattus norvegicus

Strain: Sprague Dawley derived

Sex: Male and female (females nulliparous and non-pregnant)

Weight Range (at initiation): Male: 115-125 grams; Female: 115-125 grams Number/Sex/Dose Level: 5 male, 5 female

<u>Identification:</u> Animals placed on test were identified with cage labels and ear punches.

### 25 <u>Husbandry:</u>

<u>Diet:</u> Standard laboratory feed for rodents; food and water were available ad libitum.

<u>Housing:</u> Animals were housed in suspended stainless steel wire-mesh cages in a room controlled for temperature (targeted at 21 degrees C +/- 1 degree).

Acclimation: Animals were acclimated to the testing facility at least 7 days prior to the start of testing. Animals were observed for general health and suitability for testing during this period.

30

<u>Justification of Selection of Test System:</u> The rat is the preferred species for acute oral toxicity testing because of an extensive historical data base.

Assignment to Dose Groups: Animals placed on test were randomly assigned to dose groups. Only rats with body weight within +/- 20% of the mean body weight of rats of the same age, strain, and sex were used.

Route of Administration: The test material was placed in 4 ounce, clear glass feeding jars for continuous ad libitum access to the food.

Frequency: Additional test material was added daily.

Test Duration: 7 days.

#### **DOSING PROCEDURE:**

<u>Animal Preparation:</u> The rats were randomly selected and weighed on day 0, ear punched and single housed in cages.

Sample Preparation: The test material was dosed as supplied.

15 <u>Treatment:</u> An equal quantity of the test material was given to each animal. <u>IN LIFE OBSERVATION:</u>

Body Weight: Body weight was recorded in grams for each animal daily. Signs of Toxicity and Mortality: All test animals were observed for signs of toxicity and mortality twice daily seven days a week after administration. Test animals were observed for a total of 7 days after dosing. Observations included the following: circulatory, autonomic and central nervous systems, somatomotor activity, behavior patterns, skin and fur, and eyes and mucous membranes.

<u>Post-Mortem Observations:</u> A gross necropsy was performed on all test animals.

#### **RESULTS:**

Mortality: All animals died by day 7. On day 4, one female was found dead. On day 5, two males and one female were found dead. On day 6, two males and two females were found dead. On day 7, one male and one female were found dead.

Observations: Clinical observations noted during the study included dehydration, lethargy, diarrhea, tremors, weight loss, hunching and soft stool.

Body Weight: All animals had a daily weight loss.

10

5

20

30

25

Gross Pathology: At necropsy, tissue in some animals were autolyzed. Gross observations noted an absence of adipose tissue on some animals.

#### **DISCUSSION:**

5

10

. 15

Five male and five female Sprague Dawley derived rats for each dose were fed the sample as supplied.

All animals exhibited a daily weight loss and appeared dehydrated, however, they were noted to continue eating the test material during the daylight hours. CONCLUSION:

The test material, when administered as supplied causes death within 7 days to rats initially weighing between 115 to 125 grams.

#### **EXAMPLE II**

A powderized form of toxicant-free pulverized corn cobs was distributed on ants which died upon contact with the sample.

Although particular examples of the present invention are shown and described, it is apparent that changes and modifications may be made therein without departing from the invention in its broadest aspects.

Having described my invention I claim:

6

## **CLAIMS**

1	<ol> <li>A toxicant-free</li> </ol>	bait powder for insects, such as ants, consisting of corn
2	cobs reduced to a particula	te form.
1	2. A method for k	illing rodents which comprises orally administering to the
2	rodents an effective amount	of a toxicant-free composition comprising corn cobs in
3	a particulate form, mixed wi	th a sweet attractant.
1	3. A toxicant-free	e rodent exterminating bait for oral administration to a
2	rodent comprising:	
3	particul	ate toxicant com-free cob particles, mixed with a rodent
4	attractant.	
1	4. A bait as defin	ed in claim 3, in which the corn cob particles are bound
2	together with the attractant	in a pellet form.

## INTERNATIONAL SEARCH REPORT

International application No. PCT/US95/15843

	I		
A. CLASSIFICATION OF SUBJECT MATTER		·	
IPC(6) :A01N 25/00			
US CL: 424/84, 403, 405, 409, 410, 439;514/951 According to International Patent Classification (IPC) or to bot	15		
B. FIELDS SEARCHED	in national classification	and IPC	
Minimum documentation searched (classification system follow	red by classification syml	oola)	
U.S. : 424/84, 403, 405, 409, 410, 439;514/951			•
Documentation recepted other than ::		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Documentation searched other than minimum documentation to to NONE	he extent that such docum	ents are included in th	e fields searched
HONE			
Electronic data have consulted during the international			
Electronic data base consulted during the international search (r NONE	name of data base and, w	here practicable, scar	ch terms used)
NOVE			į
C. DOCUMENTS CONSIDERED TO BE RELEVANT			<del></del>
Category* Citation of document, with indication, where a	appropriate, of the releva	nt nessages D	levant to claim No.
			w cam 140.
Y US,A,5,019,564 (LOWE ET AL)	28 May 1991,	see entire 1-	4
document			
i			
			·
		1	
		ĺ	
1			
1			
1			
			: :
·			·
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C	See patent 6	amily annex	·
Further documents are listed in the continuation of Box C		amily annex.	·
Special entegories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered	"T" Inter-document pur	blished after the internation by	al filing date or priority t cited to understand the
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance	"I" Inter document pur date and not in on principle or theor	blished after the internation affect with the application but y underlying the invention	t cited to understand the
Special outogories of cited documents:  document.defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  earlier document published on or after the international filing date	"T" Inter document per date and not in corprinciple or theorem."  "X" document of part considered novel.	blished after the internation afflict with the application bu y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claim or cannot be considered to in	t cited to understand the
Special categories of cited documents:  document.defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority chain(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other	"T" inter-document perdute and not in corprinciple or theorem." "X" document of part considered novel when the document	blished after the internation effect with the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to in at is taken alone	t cited to understand the
Special categories of cited documents:  document.defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)	"T" Inter document purdate and not in corprinciple or theor "X" document of part considered novel owhere the document of part considered to in	blished after the internation afflict with the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to in at in taken atlors, the claims tooker selevance; the claims	t cited to understand the
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority change) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"Y" inter-document per date and not in corprinciple or theore "X" document of part considered novel when the document of part considered at in combined with a time of the considered to in combined with a	blished after the internation of affect with the application but yunderlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to it at leaken alone	t cited to understand the ed invention cannot be avolve an inventive step ed invention cannot be
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority change) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means	"Y" document of part considered novel when the document of part considered novel when the document of part considered to be c	blished after the internation offlict with the application but y underlying the invention ioniar selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to in at it taken alone icular selevance; the claims when an inventive step we or more other such docume	t cited to understand the ed investion cannot be avolve an investive step ed investion cannot be
Special outogories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  entier document published on or after the international filing date  document which may throw doubts on priority chain(a) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  document published prior to the international filing date but later than	"I" Inter document per data and not in co principle or theor "X" document of part considered novel when the document of part considered to in considered to in considered to in considered with an being obvious to a document member."	blished after the internation afflict with the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to it to taken alone icular selevance; the claims volve an inventive step we or more other such docume a person skilled in the art of the same patent family	t cited to undersimal the and invention cannot be twolve an inventive step and invention cannot be when the document is acuts, such combination
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  extier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority chain(a) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  ate of the actual completion of the international search	"X" document of part considered nevel when the document of part considered nevel when the document of part considered nevel when the document of part considered to in combined with on being obvious to a document member "&" document member "&" document member "&" document member of the interest of mailing of the interest of th	blished after the internation offlict with the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to it is taken alone icular selevance; the claim icular	t cited to undersimal the and invention cannot be twolve an inventive step and invention cannot be when the document is acuts, such combination
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  extier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority chain(a) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other messas  document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed	"I" Inter document per data and not in co principle or theor "X" document of part considered novel when the document of part considered to in considered to in considered to in considered with an being obvious to a document member."	blished after the internation offlict with the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to it is taken alone icular selevance; the claim icular	t cited to undersimal the and invention cannot be twolve an inventive step and invention cannot be when the document is acuts, such combination
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority chain(s) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date chained  after of the actual completion of the international search  09 FEBRUARY 1996  same and mailing address of the ISA/US	"X" document or date and not in corprinciple or theor "X" document of part considered novel when the document of part considered to in combined with on being obvious to document member 23 FEB	blished after the internation until the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to it is taken alone invalve set in taken alone on inventive step we or more other such docum a person skilled in the art of the same patent family international search re-	t cited to understand the ad invention cannot be avolve an inventive step ad invention cannot be when the document is acous, such combination
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance  cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority chain(a) or which is cited to establish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified)  document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means  document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed  ate of the actual completion of the international search  9 FEBRUARY 1996  ame and mailing address of the ISA/US  Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks  BOX PCT	T inter document put date and not in corprinciple or theorem.  "X" document of part considered novel when the document or part considered to incombined with on being obvious to a document member.  Date of mailing of the 23 FEB.  Authorized officer	blished after the internation offlict with the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to it is taken alone icular selevance; the claims icular selevance distributed in the art of the same patent family international search results of the same patent family in the	t cited to understand the ad invention cannot be avolve an inventive step ad invention cannot be when the document is acous, such combination
Special categories of cited documents:  document defining the general state of the art which is not considered to be of particular relevance cartier document published on or after the international filing date document which may throw doubts on priority claim(s) or which is cited to enablish the publication date of another citation or other special reason (as specified) document referring to an oral disclosure, use, exhibition or other means document published prior to the international filing date but later than the priority date claimed after of the actual completion of the international search  OP FEBRUARY 1996  ame and mailing address of the ISA/US Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks	"X" document or date and not in corprinciple or theor "X" document of part considered novel when the document of part considered to in combined with on being obvious to document member 23 FEB	blished after the internation offlict with the application but y underlying the invention icular selevance; the claims or cannot be considered to it is taken alone icular selevance; the claims icular selevance distributed in the art of the same patent family international search results of the same patent family in the	t cited to undersimal the and invention cannot be avolve an inventive step and invention cannot be when the document is acuts, such combination

THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)